



Docket No. 10112581

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
Corres. and Mail
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2823

BOX AF

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yi-Nan CHEN, Hsin-Chuan TSAI

Filed: 7/28/2003

Appl. No.: 10/628,894

Examiner: PHAM, THANH V

Conf. No.: 7425

Art Unit: 2823

Title: METHOD FOR FORMING BOTTLE-SHAPED TRENCH

Date: March 16, 2005

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY COVER SHEET

Sir:

Attached hereto please find a response to the Office Action mailed on December 23, 2004.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. If, however, the Commissioner considers that a fee is due in connection with the filing of this paper, authorization is made to charge any fee which may be required to Deposit Account No. 502447.

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent & Trademark Office to (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:

Nelson A. Quintero

(Name of Person Transmitting)

(Signature)

March 16, 2005

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

Nelson A. Quintero
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 52,143
Customer No. 34,283

Telephone: (310) 401-6180



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yi-Nan CHEN, Hsin-Chuan TSAI

Filed: 7/28/2003

Appl. No.: 10/628,894

Examiner: PHAM, THANH V

Conf. No.: 7425

Art Unit: 2823

Title: METHOD FOR FORMING BOTTLE-SHAPED TRENCH

Date: March 15, 2005

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on **December 23, 2004** finally rejecting claims 1-20, Applicant respectfully requests that the above-identified application be reconsidered in view of the remarks which follow, that each of the pending claims be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forster et al (US 6,455,369) in combination with Wolf et al (Silicon Processing for the VLSI, Vol. 1). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections for the reasons as follow.

Applicant submits that claims 1-20 are clearly in condition for allowance, as will be discussed in detail herein below. Applicant presents the remarks below in an effort to further point out that the claims patentably distinguish over the cited prior art in hopes of avoiding an unnecessary appeal process for this case. The remarks of the instant response further clarify and distinguish